

12, 1995, the gentleman from West Virginia [Mr. WISE] is recognized during morning business for 2 minutes.

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, we are all delighted of course that the Government is back to work and in West Virginia 17,000 Federal employees are back on the job.

I also want to thank my congressional staff, over half of whom were furloughed during this period. It is not that they were nonessential. It is that they were, in the decision of the Committee on House Oversight, non-legislative. Today the mobile office is back on the road visiting one of the many counties it visits every day. The caseworkers are working, schedulers are putting together events, constituent organizers are working. We are back in business.

Americans finally are once again getting the Government that they are paying for. That is what was lost in this whole debate. Americans were not getting the Government that they are paying taxes for.

I believe there are two reasons that we reached this situation today, this compromise. First of all, the public was telling everyone, Republicans, Democrats, the White House, it is time to get back to work. Do not hold us as hostages to this budget battle that is taking place.

The second reason is, I believe, not reported as much, is the decision on Saturday by Democrats and then joined by a lot of Republicans to say, no, we are not going to shut this House down, this House should not adjourn even for 1 day while there are Federal employees out on the street.

So let us get to the good news. The good news is that this side-bar, this preliminary fight on this boxing card, is behind us at least for 3 weeks. Now we can get down to the real issues; the real issues of what kind of budget we have in this country and what kind of priorities is Medicare and Medicaid; what kind of tax cuts are they going to be and are they going to go to the wealthiest or to the low- and middle-income; what kind of education programs are we going to have; how you are going to actually balance this budget over 7 years.

The good news is hopefully that this will not be affected by temporary events, the fact that the Speaker is dissatisfied with the seat that he gets on an airplane or somebody's attack on somebody else on the floor of the House. Now we have a fight over principles, and that is a fight that I welcome.

We are going to hear a lot about scorekeeping, whether Congressional Budget Office or Office of Management and Budget will keep the score and make the estimates on growth. The fact is, the people should be the scorekeepers, and that is what this battle is going to be about.

CALL FOR PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentleman from New Mexico [Mr. SCHIFF] is recognized during morning business for 3 minutes.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, both sides did compromise to bring us to the point where we are today. The Republican leadership gave up some original provisions that were not related to the budget in the originally proposed continuing resolution.

This weekend the President agreed to a 7-year goal for reaching a balanced budget, combined with using the Congressional Budget Office economic forecasts, although with consultation with other agencies. Now we have to head to the task of passing a long term 7-year balanced budget.

Very shortly, the Republican majority in Congress will pass such a budget. Seven years, scored by the Congressional Budget Office. I do not agree with every single decision in that budget, but it is a budget that meets the requirements of the framework that has been agreed upon.

Mr. Speaker, I respectfully suggest it is now time for the President of the United States to submit a new budget to Congress, a budget that is also within the framework that we have agreed upon, a budget where the President proposes a balanced budget in 7 years, rated by the Congressional Budget Office.

I understand that the President of the United States has some very strong feelings about budget priorities. This is not only his prerogative, I think it is his responsibility in his office.

However, how do we know what his priorities are, how do we negotiate differences between the two, unless we have a budget from this administration that lays out those priorities so that we can compare the two budgets, the congressional budget and the administration's budget, on a side-by-side basis? If the President proposes, for example, that spending be raised in one category, how will we know how to pay for that increase in spending unless we can see where the President has proposed reducing spending elsewhere?

Mr. Speaker, so the American people can compare our priorities, so that the Congress can negotiate with the President to reach a 7-year balanced budget, we need the President and the administration now to send us their version of a balanced budget in 7 years.

BUDGETARY PRIORITIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentlewoman from Colorado [Mrs. SCHROEDER] is recognized during morning business for 2 minutes.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, I must say how very proud I was to be a Democrat this weekend, because this weekend the Democratic party and this House stood up in the grand tradition

of Roosevelt and Truman for work, for work.

We insisted that this body keep working as we ran out of "no" cards, when the other side finally decided we should not adjourn, that we should stay in session until we got some kind of an agreement to get Federal workers back to work. That happened, and how proud I was of the solidarity on our side of the aisle as they chanted "work, work, work," to the other side to get all of the petty nonsense of the last week behind us.

That is now behind us. And now for the next 3 weeks this body must sit down with the American people and we must all dialog about what our priorities really are.

Today we are going to see the first priority category. We see the defense bill going to the President. And after all of this that you have heard about balanced budgets, they are going to put a defense bill on the President's budget that is \$7 billion over what the Joint Chiefs of Staff asked for. That was never done, even during the cold war. So we will be spending more than the whole rest of the planet combined on defense.

If you think this year is expensive, wait until you see the rest that is coming in behind it for the next 7 years. This is just the teeny little Ritz cracker hors d'oeuvre, for the banquet that we will be ordering if we cannot override the President's veto of that bill.

These are the kind of priorities we are going to talk about as we figure out what we do in this next 7 years. These are the priorities that are taking us into the 21st century. This is going to be a historic 3-week debate. Everyone in America should roll up their shirt sleeves and join it. It is our country and it is our future.

PRESIDENTIAL PROMISES ON BALANCING THE BUDGET

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. STEARNS] is recognized during morning business for 3 minutes.

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, I am certainly glad the President finally agreed to balance the budget in 7 years.

It really should not have been quite as difficult as it turned out to be, because really when you go back and look at what the President said in his State of the Union Address in 1993, and what he said when he ran for the Presidency in 1992, and when you put them all all together, it would not have been possible for him to continue to say he was not for a balanced budget in 7 years.

Let me quote what the President said in 1993 in the State of the Union Message.

My budget plan will use independent Congressional Budget Office numbers. I did this so no one could say I was estimating my way out of this difficulty. I did this so that the American people will think we are shooting straight with them.